

**Trust Board Meeting – 02 June 2016**

<b>Title of the paper:</b>	Board self-evaluation	
<b>Agenda item:</b>	17/38	
<b>Lead:</b>	Professor Steve Barnett, Chair	
<b>Author:</b>	Jean Hickman, Trust Secretary	
<b>Trust objective:</b>	Tick as appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> Achieving continuous improvement in the quality of patient care that we provide and the delivery of service performance across all areas; <input type="checkbox"/> Setting out our future clinical strategy through clinical leadership in partnership and with whole system working; <input type="checkbox"/> Creating a clear and credible long term financial strategy.	
<b>Purpose:</b>	The aim of this paper is to provide feedback to the Board on the self-evaluation exercise carried out at the end of the Board meeting on 04 February 2016.  A further short self-evaluation will be undertaken at the end of each forthcoming Board meeting to measure and strengthen its effectiveness.	
<b>Link to Board Assurance Framework (BAF)</b>	N/A	
<b>Previously discussed:</b>		
<b>Committee</b>		<b>Date</b>
N/A		N/A
<b>Benefits to patients and patient safety implications</b>		
<b>Recommendations</b>		
The Board is asked to provide comment on the effectiveness of the Board meeting on 02 June 2016,		



## Board self-evaluation – 02 June 2016

Board members are asked to consider and offer comments to the three key questions below.

- 1) Did the Board meeting agenda appropriately prioritise items in terms of time and scrutiny to provide sufficient assurance?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Comment .....  
.....

- 2) Were the Board papers fit for purpose?

- Was the function of each paper clear, i.e. information/decision/recommendation?
- Was there a clear assessment of risks and how they would be mitigated?
- Was there a clear link to strategy/strategic objective?

The majority of the papers ☐ Some of the papers ☐

None of the papers ☐

Comment .....  
.....

- 3) Did the Board have a full debate on relevant topics?

Yes / No

Comment .....  
.....