

TRUST BOARD MEETING – 29th March 2012

Title of the Paper:	Indicative Capital Programme Allocation 2012/13		
Agenda item:	47/12		
Author:	Louise Gaffney, Interim Director of Strategy and Infrastructure		
Trust Objective:	Financially Sound / Safe Environment		
Purpose To seek endorsement of recommended allocation of capital funds			
Risk Implications for the Trust (<i>including any clinical and financial consequences</i>):		Mitigating Actions (<i>Controls</i>):	
Schemes are not implemented as per allocations - timeline and cost implications Slippage of capital programme and underspend / overspend occurs Lack of transparency and clinical engagement in process of decision making		Project management structures in place with Capital Team members involved in each project Lessons learned from previous implementation – learning organisation and post project evaluations Tracking and forecast of expenditure against plans to provide early warning system of slippage. Over commitment on 'recoverable' schemes to manage any underspend. New arrangements in place. Explicit involvement of clinicians.	
Level of Assurance that can be given to the Trust Board from the report Significant assurance that allocations are made in relation to both patient safety and compliance requirements, targeting priorities raised in discussion with clinical and corporate divisions and the subject of external assessment.			
Links to Board Assurance Framework, CQC Outcomes, Statutory Requirements (ie BAF risk reference, CQC outcomes linked to report) Board Assurance Framework. Risk ID 2136			
Legal Implications: None / Capital is targeting areas of non compliance to avoid risk of legal implications.			
Recommendation to the Trust Board: The Trust Board members are asked to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Take assurance from the change in governance and transparency of decisions making for prioritising capital allocationsEndorse the recommendations			

